

Order of an integer.

Primitive roots.

Definition 1. Let a, n be relatively prime positive integers.

The least positive integer x such that

$$a^x \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

is called the order of a modulo n .

Notation. $\text{ord}_n a$

Remark. In particular,

$$a^{\text{ord}_n a} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}.$$

Theorem 1. Let a, n be relatively prime integers with $n > 0$. Then the positive integer x is a solution of the congruence

$$a^x \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

if and only if

$$\text{ord}_n a | x.$$

Proof. Suppose first that $\text{ord}_n a | x$. Then $x = k \cdot \text{ord}_n a$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and

$$a^x = (a^{\text{ord}_n a})^k \equiv 1 \pmod{n}.$$

Conversely, if $a^x \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ and $x = q \cdot \text{ord}_n a + r$, with $0 \leq r < \text{ord}_n a$, then, by the definition

$$a^x = a^{q \cdot \text{ord}_n a + r} = (a^{\text{ord}_n a})^q a^r \equiv a^r \pmod{n}.$$

Since $a^x \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, $a^r \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$. On the other hand, $0 \leq r < \text{ord}_n a$. Therefore $r = 0$ because $\text{ord}_n a$ is the least positive integer y satisfying $a^y \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$. This implies that $x = q \cdot \text{ord}_n a$ and $\text{ord}_n a | x$. \square

Corollary. If a, n are relatively prime integers with $n > 0$, then $\text{ord}_n a | \phi(n)$.

Proof. Euler's theorem implies that, since $(a, n) = 1$, $a^{\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$. Then, by Th. 20.1, $\text{ord}_n a | \phi(n)$. \square

Theorem 2. If $\text{ord}_n a = t$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, then

$$\text{ord}_n(a^m) = \frac{t}{(t, m)}.$$

Proof. Set $s = \text{ord}_n(a^m)$, $t_1 = \frac{t}{(t, m)}$ and $m_1 = \frac{m}{(t, m)}$.

Since $\text{ord}_n a = t$,

$$(a^m)^{t_1} = (a^{m_1(t, m)})^{\frac{t}{(t, m)}} = (a^t)^{m_1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}.$$

Hence, by Th. 20.1., $s | t_1$.

Since, $a^{ms} = (a^m)^s \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, $t | ms$ (again, by Th. 20.1.).

Therefore, $t_1 | m_1 s$ and, since $(t_1, m_1) = 1$, $t_1 | s$.

Since $s | t_1$ and $t_1 | s$, $s = t_1$. \square

Definition 2 Let r, n be relatively prime integers with $n > 0$. If $\text{ord}_n r = \phi(n)$, then r is called a primitive root modulo n .

Example. By a direct check, $\text{ord}_7 5 = 6$. Since $\phi(7) = 6$, 5 is a primitive root modulo 7.

On the other hand, $\text{ord}_7 2 = 3 \neq \phi(7)$, therefore 2 is not a primitive root modulo 7.

Lemma 1 Let a, n be relatively prime integers with $n > 0$. Then $a^i \equiv a^j \pmod{n}$, ($i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$), if and only if $i \equiv j \pmod{\text{ord}_n a}$.

Proof. If $i \equiv j \pmod{\text{ord}_n a}$ and $0 \leq j \leq i$, then $i = j + k \cdot \text{ord}_n a$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Therefore,

$$a^i = a^{j+k \cdot \text{ord}_n a} = a^j (a^{\text{ord}_n a})^k \equiv a^j \pmod{n}$$

since $a^{\text{ord}_n a} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$.

Conversely, if $a^i \equiv a^j \pmod{n}$ with $i \geq j$, then, by the cancelation of a^j in the congruence

$$a^j a^{i-j} \equiv a^j \pmod{n}$$

we obtain $a^{i-j} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$. Th. 20.1. implies that $\text{ord}_n a | (i - j)$, i.e. $i \equiv j \pmod{\text{ord}_n a}$. \square

Theorem 3. Let r, n be relatively prime integers with $n > 0$. If r is a primitive root modulo n , then the integers

$$r, r^2, \dots, r^{\phi(n)}$$

form a reduced residue system modulo n .

Proof. By the definition of reduced residue systems, it is sufficient to show that all these powers are coprime to n and that no two are congruent modulo n .

- Since $(r, n) = 1$, $(r^j, n) = 1$ ($j = 1, \dots, \phi(n)$)
- If $r^i \equiv r^j \pmod{n}$, for some $i, j \in \{1, \dots, \phi(n)\}$, then, by Lemma 20.1, $i \equiv j \pmod{\text{ord}_n r}$, or, since r is a primitive root modulo n , $i \equiv j \pmod{\phi(n)}$. Since $1 \leq i \leq \phi(n)$ and $1 \leq j \leq \phi(n)$, $i = j$. \square

Theorem 4. Let r be a primitive root modulo n , where n is an integer > 1 . Then r^m is a primitive root modulo n if and only if $(m, \phi(n)) = 1$

Proof. Theorem 2 implies

$$\text{ord}_n(r^m) = \frac{\text{ord}_n r}{(m, \text{ord}_n r)} = \frac{\phi(n)}{(m, \phi(n))}.$$

Therefore, r^m is a primitive root modulo n (i.e. $\text{ord}_n(r^m) = \phi(n)$) if and only if $(m, \phi(n)) = 1$. \square

Theorem 5. If $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ has a primitive root, then it has exactly $\phi(\phi(n))$ incongruent primitive roots.

Proof. Let r be a primitive root of n . By Th 20.3, the only integers coprime to n are those congruent to $r, r^2, \dots, r^{\phi(n)}$. On the other hand, by Th. 20.4, r^m is a primitive root modulo n if and only if $(m, \phi(n)) = 1$. Since there are exactly $\phi(\phi(n))$ such integers $m \leq \phi(n)$, we obtain the result. \square

Existence of primitive roots.

Theorem 1 (Lagrange) Let p be a prime and let $f(x) = a_n x^n + \dots + a_0$ be a polynomial of degree $n \geq 1$ with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} such that $p \nmid a_n$. Then $f(x)$ has at most n incongruent solutions modulo p .

Proof. D. Burton, *Elementary Number Theory, McGraw Hill, 5th Ed. (2002) (Section 8.2)*

Theorem 2. If p is a prime and d is a divisor of $p - 1$, then $x^d - 1$ has exactly d incongruent roots modulo p .

Proof. If $p - 1 = dn$, ($n \in \mathbb{Z}$) then $x^{p-1} - 1 = (x^d - 1)h(x)$, where $h(x) = x^{d(n-1)} + \dots + x^d + 1$. Let R_1, R_2, R_3 be the sets of incongruent solutions mod p of $x^{p-1} - 1$, $x^d - 1$ and $h(x)$ respectively. Since each solution of $x^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ is a solution either of $x^d \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ or of $h(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and vice-versa, $R_1 = R_2 \cup R_3$. Therefore, $|R_1| \leq |R_2| + |R_3|$.

- By Fermat's little theorem, $|R_1| = p - 1$.
- By Lagrange's theorem, $|R_3| \leq d(n - 1) = p - d - 1$.

Therefore,

- $|R_2| \geq |R_1| - |R_3| \geq (p - 1) - (p - d - 1) = d$.

Since, by Lagrange's theorem, $|R_2| \leq d$, $|R_2| = d$. \square

Theorem 3. If p is a prime and d is a divisor of $p - 1$, then the number of incongruent integers of order d modulo p is $\phi(d)$.

Proof. Coursework

Corollary. Every prime has a primitive root.

Proof. Let p be a prime. By definition, an integer r is a primitive root modulo p if and only if $\text{ord}_p r = \phi(p) = p - 1$. Th. 21.3. implies that there are $\phi(p - 1)$ incongruent integers of order $p - 1$ modulo p . Therefore, p has $\phi(p - 1) > 0$ primitive roots. \square

Theorem 4. The only positive integers having primitive roots are those of the form

$$2, 4, p^t, 2p^t$$

where p is an odd prime and $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Proof. D. Burton, *Elementary Number Theory, McGraw Hill, 5th Ed. (2002) (Section 8.3)*

Index arithmetic

Discrete logarithms

Lemma 1. Suppose that $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ has a primitive root r . If a is a positive integer with $(a, m) = 1$, then there is a unique integer x with $1 \leq x \leq \phi(m)$ such that

$$r^x \equiv a \pmod{m}.$$

Proof. By Th. 20.3., $\{r, r^2, \dots, r^{\phi(m)}\}$ is a reduced residue system mod m . Therefore, if $(a, m) = 1$, then there is a unique element in that set congruent to $a \pmod{m}$. \square

Definition 1 If $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ has a primitive root r and a is a positive integer with $(a, m) = 1$, then the unique integer x with $1 \leq x \leq \phi(m)$ and $r^x \equiv a \pmod{m}$ is called the index (or discrete logarithm) of a to the base r modulo m .

Notation. $\text{ind}_r a$.

Remark. In particular,

$$r^{\text{ind}_r a} \equiv a \pmod{m}.$$

Theorem 1. Let m be a positive integer with primitive root r . If a, b are positive integers coprime to m and k is a positive integer, then

$$(i) \text{ind}_r 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\phi(m)}$$

$$(ii) \text{ind}_r(ab) \equiv \text{ind}_r a + \text{ind}_r b \pmod{\phi(m)}$$

$$(iii) \text{ind}_r a^k \equiv k \cdot \text{ind}_r a \pmod{\phi(m)}$$

Proof. (i) Euler's theorem implies that $r^{\phi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. Therefore, $\text{ind}_r 1 = \phi(m) \equiv 0 \pmod{\phi(m)}$.

(ii) By definition,

$$r^{\text{ind}_r a} \equiv a \pmod{m}$$

$$r^{\text{ind}_r b} \equiv b \pmod{m} \text{ and}$$

$$r^{\text{ind}_r(ab)} \equiv ab \pmod{m}.$$

Therefore,

$$r^{\text{ind}_r(ab)} \equiv ab \equiv r^{\text{ind}_r a} r^{\text{ind}_r b} = r^{\text{ind}_r a + \text{ind}_r b} \pmod{m}.$$

Lemma 20.1 then implies that $\text{ind}_r(ab) \equiv \text{ind}_r a + \text{ind}_r b \pmod{\phi(m)}$.

(iii) Since, by (ii), $\text{ind}_r(a^{k-1}a) \equiv \text{ind}_r a^{k-1} + \text{ind}_r a \pmod{\phi(m)}$, the result follows by induction on k .